

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,154	02/05/2001	Sco Hong Yoo	APAP31191-A 072852.0117	5489
7.	590 01/14/2004	•	EXAM	INER
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			KIM, VICKIE Y	
44TH FLOOR				
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10112-4498		1614	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			4 .	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/778,154	YOO, SEO HONG	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Vickie Kim	1614	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	pp ars on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence addr ss	
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-137</u> is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>138-151</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and			
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* § 13)⊠ A s 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a lice acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). est of the certified copies no estic priority under 35 U.S.C first sentence of the specific provisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ication or in an Application Data Sheet been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachmen			111	
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice o	y Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/778,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

- 1. Acknowledgement is made of amendment filed July 03, 2003. Upon entering the amendment, the claims 138-147 are amended. New claims 148-151 are added.
- 2. The claims 138-151 are presented for the examination.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 139 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim 139 recites a paste to further limit the subject matter(i.e. solution) of previous claim 138. However, paste(semi-solid) is physically different from solution(liquid) and thus, the dependent claim 139 fails to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim where dependent claim should be narrower than the parent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

New matter

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 138-147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims now recite the phrase "free of alcohol". However, the specification as originally filed fails to provide written descriptive support for said phrase.

Additionally, the specification as originally filed indirectly teaches that the alcohol(i.e. methanol) is used to make UD te,e has did not specifically mention of alcohol, the specification as originally filed does not exclude alcohol from the instant invention.

Thus, the instant disclosure does not convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that the inventor had possession of the later claimed subject matter(i.e.free of alcohol), at the time the application was filed.

3. Claims 148-150 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are now reciting new limitations as following:

- (a) the first material is less than about 30:1 in claim 148;
- (b) the first material is more than 1.17%(W/W) in claim 149; and

Application/Control Number: 09/778,154 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

(c) the second material is more than about 35%(W/W).

The specification as originally filed specifically provides a generic description which supports the content amount of first or second materials as shown below(from page 23, line 14 to page 24, line13):

In preferred embodiments of the inventionmaltodextrin required to prevent the precipitation of bile acods from is 5g for every 0.2g of ursodeoxycholic acid, 25g for every 1g of80g of pectin for every 500mg of ursodeoxycholic acid in 100mL water.

The specification also exemplifies several compositions comprising various ratios and content amount for each component thru out the specification(see examples at page 32, 37, and so on.

Although the specification as originally filed teaches these generic description of the percentages and ratios between first and second materials, the values are different in each example and there is no consistency on the values(i.e. amounts for each components and ratios between two components). For example, several examples include less than 35%(W/W), see example 1 at page 32. Also, the first material is not necessarily less than 30:1, for example, the preferred embodiment include 5g of maltodextrin:0.2g of ursodeoxycholic acid(25:1), see page 23, lines 15-16. The later claimed arbitrary range of "less than 30:1, more than 1.17%(W/W) or more than 35%(W/W) dos not have written descriptive support in the originally filed specification.

Thus, the limited generic disclosure and examples fail to convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that the inventor had possession of the later claimed subject matter, at the time the application was filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/778,154

Art Unit: 1614

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 151 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakazawa et al(JP62153220-abstract only).

JP'220 teaches an oral aqueous clear solution comprising bile acids(e.g. ursodeoxycholic acid) and dextrins(e.g. amylodextrin, D-glucose, water, etc. It further teaches that the said bile acid composition can be formulated and satisfied as internal medication without pH adjustment, see entire abstract(English translated JP abstract and CAPLUS(database) version).

All the critical elements required by the instant claims are taught by the reference and the all the claimed subject matter is anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 138-147are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazawa et al(JP62153220-abstract only).

As mentioned in 102 rejection, JP 220 teaches an clear aqueous oral solution comprising bile acids(e.g. ursodeoxycholic acid), dextrins (e.g. amylodextrin), D-

Application/Control Number: 09/778,154

Art Unit: 1614

glucose, water and so on. All the critical elements are taught except the free of alcohol(considered to be new matter).

Even if the new matter rejection is not valid, the difference between the patented invention and instant claims is considered to be minor because the amount of alcohol content is very small which would not make the solution so different from the solution of the instant application. For instance, small amount of EtOH(ethanol) is used to dissolve ursodeoxycholic acid then adjusted to 100ml. Only 1 ml from the solution is used to make the final product. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have not expected "liquor solution or azeotropic mixture", especially any dramatic changes in outcome. Thus, the claimed subject matter is well within the scope of the patented invention and thus obvious, absent evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

- 5. No claim is allowed.
- 6. Allowable subject matter is discussed during the telephonic conversation(1/2/04) and amendment to the claims to obviate the new matter rejection would put the claims 148-150 into the allowable condition.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/778,154

Art Unit: 1614

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickie Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on 703-308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3165 for regular communications and 703-746-3165 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Vickie Kim,

Patent examiner

January 12, 2004

Art unit 1614

Page 7